In recent years, the new direction such as identification of informative circulating markers reflecting molecular genetic changes in the DNA of tumor cells was actively developed. Smoking-related DNA adducts are very promising research area, since they indicate high pathogenetic importance in the lung carcinogenesis and can be identified in biological samples with high accuracy and reliability using highly sensitive mass spectrometry methods (TOF/TOF, TOF/MS, MS/MS). The appearance of DNA adducts in blood or tissues is the result of the interaction of carcinogenic factors, such as tobacco constituents, and the body reaction which is determined by individual characteristics of metabolic and repair systems. So, DNA adducts may be considered as a cumulative mirror of heterogeneous response of different individuals to smoking carcinogens, which finally could determine the risk for lung cancer. This review is devoted to analysis of the role of DNA adducts in lung carcinogenesis in order to demonstrate their usefulness as cancer associated markers. Currently, there are some serious limitations impeding the widespread use of DNA adducts as cancer biomarkers, due to failure of standardization of mass spectrometry analysis in order to correctly measure the adduct level in each individual. However, it is known that all DNA adducts are immunogenic, their accumulation over some threshold concentration leads to the appearance of long-living autoantibodies. Thus, detection of an informative pattern of autoantibodies against DNA adducts using innovative multiplex ELISA immunoassay may be a promising approach to find lung cancer at an early stage in high-risk groups (smokers, manufacturing workers, urban dwellers).
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and DNA adducts caused by tobacco smoke carcinogens as a new etiologic factor of LC.

DNA adducts are very promising research area, since their chemical structures are considerably different from mutated or methylated DNA and can be identified in clinical samples with high accuracy and reliability. Currently, there are highly sensitive mass spectrometry methods (TOF/TOF, TOF/MS, MS/MS), to identify and quantify smoking-related DNA adducts [10]. Continuously updated information about the genotoxic effect of tobacco smoke components indicates their high pathogenetic importance in the tumor formation.

This review is devoted to the analysis of the role of DNA adducts in lung carcinogenesis in order to demonstrate their usefulness as noninvasive cancer associated markers. The perspective to use them as cancer markers is provided by their crucial role in smoking-related lung carcinogenesis. The further investigations using mass spectrometry analysis are needed to identify the most important DNA adducts reflecting the risk of cancer development. DNA adducts are known to be high immunogenic substances resulting in the autoantibody formation in the blood. The testing of autoantibodies against reliable DNA adducts may be considered as a promising way for early detection of LC, however further studies are required to demonstrate clinical validity and utility for this approach.

THE ROLE OF TOBACCO SMOKING IN THE PATHOGENESIS OF LUNG CANCER

Complex composition of tobacco smoke makes difficult to identify the mechanisms that trigger the process of carcinogenesis. Approximately 20 substances in cigarette smoke have a carcinogenic effect, which are represented by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), nitrosamines, aldehydes, etc. [11]. All of them are on the list of carcinogens released by IARC (International Agency for Research on Cancer) [12]. In this review, we made an attempt to summarize the mechanisms of carcinogenic action of tobacco smoke components that can cause genetic alterations in oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes, as well as to show the possible causes of the heterogeneity of the negative effects of smoking in different subjects.

Nicotine, compound which is found in large quantities in tobacco, has no carcinogenic effect, but it is a highly addictive substance that leads to a constant consumption and accumulation of other carcinogens of tobacco smoke in the body. Some of the major components of tobacco smoke such as PAHs and nitrosamines are not carcinogens initially they need metabolic activation to acquire carcinogenic properties. Imbalance between metabolic activation and detoxification may lead to an increased risk of LC [13]. Normally, the cell with damaged DNA commits suicide by apoptosis, but if these mutations occur continuously in the critical region of an oncogene, this may lead to activation and accumulation of aberrant cells with a loss of cell cycle regulation, and as a result to malignant transformation.

Molecular genetic changes in LC inducing by tobacco smoking are represented by the following events: chromosomal aberrations, point mutations, dysregulation of oncogenic signal transduction pathways, DNA methylation, etc. Active or passive exposure to tobacco smoking equally causes multiple cytogenetic changes, including different types of DNA and chromosome damage. Point mutations in oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes are quite frequently detected in the tumor tissue of LC patients who are former smokers. According to published data, TP53 mutations are associated with exposure to tobacco smoke and may cause disruption of its functional activity.

The increased frequency of nucleotide substitution of thymine to guanine in TP53 gene in LC smokers often observed in the so-called “hot spots” which are located at 157, 158, 245, 248 and 273 codons [14–16]. It has been experimentally proved that the appearance of such nucleotide substitution may be caused by the action of tobacco smoke metabolite benzo[a]pyrene — benzopyrene-7,8-diol-9, 10-epoxide (BPDE) leading to formation DNA adducts in these regions [16, 17].

Methylation of several suppressor genes, namely, CDKN2A (cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2A), DAPK1 (death-associated protein kinase 1), RASSF1A (Ras association domain family 1 isoform), RARB2 (retinoic acid receptor B2), APC (adenomatous polyposis coli), CDH13 (cadherin 13), MGMT (O(6)-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase), MLH1 (MutL homolog 1), MSH2 (MutS protein homolog 2) and GSTP1 (glutation-S-transferase P 1) resulting in their inactivation has been found in LC [18]. Number of studies demonstrate that methylation of the CDKN2A gene is much less common in non-smoking patients with LC, indicating a direct link with smoking. Also, a lower rate of the APC gene methylation (p < 0.0001) was found in patients who had never smoked. Methylation of forms of these genes are detected in DNA from sputum, bronchoalveolar lavage fluid and serum, suggesting the possibility of their use for minimally invasive screening. The possible significance of these markers as risk factors for LC is shown in examples of RARB2 and CDKN2A genes, their methylated forms are detected in bronchial biopsy samples of smokers without tumor and serum of patients with an increased risk of LC, and in serum of squamous cell lung carcinoma patients 3 years before the clinical manifestation [19, 20]. Prognostic role of methylated DNA fragments of RASSF1A and RARB2 suppressor genes in serum patients with squamous LC was shown in relation to risk of relapse after combined treatment [21, 22].

The active components of tobacco smoke affect basic cellular functions, such as cell response to DNA damage, induction of oxidative stress, apoptosis and inflammatory reactions. Taking into account the involvement in these processes a large number of functionally important genes and signaling pathways necessary is to clarify the initial changes caused by metabolites of tobacco smoke that can further lead to tumor formation.

Oxidative stress is a result of an imbalance between the formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) and a biological system’s ability to readily detoxify the reactive intermediates or to repair the resulting damage. Oxidative stress is an important phenomenon in the pathophysio-
ogy of cancer [23]. Oxidative stress induced by the tobacco smoke components leads to DNA strand breaks and oxidative modification of DNA. Tobacco smoke contains a large number of free radicals which cause DNA damage and generate oxidized base forms such as 7,8-dihydro-8-oxo-2-deoxyguanosine (8-OH-dG), which is a classical biomarker of oxidative DNA damage. Inflammatory response induced by damaging effect of tobacco smoke creates certain conditions in microenvironment that enhances carcinogenesis [24]. These conditions include glutathione oxidation, followed by increasing of glutathione disulfide level in the lung tissue and bronchoalveolar lavage fluid; increase in 8-OH-DG, overexpression of mRNA of nitric oxide synthase and endothelial nitric oxide synthase genes in the lung tissue; reduction of antioxidants in the blood, such as methylumbelliferone glucuronide and ferrooxidase; increasing lipid peroxidation indicators (8-Epi-prostaglandin F2 alpha); increasing oxygen removing from leukocytes in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid [25]. All these processes contribute to the accumulation of genotoxic damage and the development of chronic inflammatory response aimed at preserving tissue integration, triggering processes of repair and regeneration, similar to wound healing. In this case, the inflammatory response performs the tumor-promoting function by production of growth, anti-apoptotic, pro-angiogenic and matrix remodeling factors [26].

Recent studies have shown that mutations or aberrant expression of miRNAs that are negative post-transcriptional regulators of gene expression associated with various human diseases, including cancer. Various researches on non-small cell LC samples established the potential association between the expression of different miRNAs and action components of tobacco smoke. For example, expression of miR-218 significantly reduced in the tumors of patients — current or former smokers, while in tumors of patients who has never smoked such changes were not detected. Another study showed a significant difference in the expression levels of miR-130a between smoking and nonsmoking patients with LC [27]. In a recent study it was found that the expression level of miR-143 was lower in smokers than non-smokers patients, as the authors suggest, miR-143 may play a significant role in the etiology of LC [28]. In contrast to the above, microarray study performed by Landi et al. did not reveal any significant differences in the miRNAs expression in smokers and non-smoking patients with LC [29]. Inconsistency of the results can be explained by a small number of the samples. Probably more detailed study with strict stratification of patients according to histological type of tumor, smoking status, gender is required.

Still it has not been reliably established, whether tobacco smoke-induced LC characterized by special molecular signature or all of the molecular changes in LC are universal. Detection of specific genetic and epigenetic abnormalities induced by tobacco smoke will allow identifying the key genes associated with potentially high risk for LC. This will help to carry out monitoring of patients with pre-cancerous conditions of the lung for the early detection of the disease and to plan strategies for prevention.

**CAUSES OF HETEROGENEITY IN THE NEGATIVE EFFECTS OF SMOKING**

The role of smoking in LC development was finally proved only in the 60s of the last century, because it was unclear why incidence of LC in smokers was extremely low and amounted to only 10%. The subsequent development of molecular biological research methods allowed comes closer to the cause of such ambiguity. Apparently, genetically determined (or inherited) characteristics of metabolic system, as well as DNA repair system, make a significant contribution to the risk of LC. In particular tobacco smoke carcinogens undergo complex metabolic conversions, usually via series of chemical reactions. It is known that people who inherited different polymorphic variants of genes encoding enzymes involved in carcinogen metabolism characterized by different degrees of resistance to their action and, accordingly, various risk of LC development [30–32].

After entering the body PAH molecules induce the expression of Phase I and Phase II metabolic enzymes [33], including aldo-keto reductases, cytochrome P450, catechol-O-methyltransferase, epoxide hydrolases, peroxidases, glutathione S-transferase (GSTM1), N-acetyltransferases, sulfotransferases, and other enzymes which catalyze the conjugation reaction [34]. The most common mechanism of PAH metabolic activation, for example, benzo[a]pyrene, is the formation of the dihydrodiol epoxide — BPDE, which is catalyzed by cytochrome P450 enzymes and epoxide. The main enzymes which involved in PAHs metabolism are Cytochromes P450 CYP 1A1, CYP 1A2, CYP 1B1 and CYP 3A4. Induction of these enzymes is significantly increased in the presence of benzo[a]pyrene and some polyhalogenated hydrocarbons. People who have inherited low-activity variants of cytochrome are relatively resistant to tobacco smoke carcinogens. In particular, it has been found that some polymorphic variants of CYP 1A1 gene associated with increased formation of DNA adducts and mutagenesis in some populations [35].

Subsequent phase of PAH metabolism includes conjugation of phase I metabolites with small molecules. Enzymes catalyzing phase II include sulfotransferase, UDP (Uridine 5’-diphospho)-glucuronyl transferase and glutathione-S-transferase. Sulfotransferases (SULT) involved in the activation of such PAH metabolites as 7, 12-dimethylbenz(a)anthracene and its methyl-hydroxylated derivatives in various tissues. It is shown that polymorphic variants of sulfotransferase SULT1A1 are associated with different levels of DNA adducts formation [36]. Conjugation of PAH metabolites with glucuronic acid (glucuronidation) is also one of the main way of detoxification resulting in formation of polar conjugates, which have higher excretion rate. Oxidized derivatives of benzo[a]pyrene (for example, 1-hydroxypyryidine) are a substrate for UDP-glucuronosyltransferase. The resulting compound 1-hydroxypyrene glucuronide can be used as biomarker of PAH exposure [37]. Glutathione-
S-transferase is also involved in the conjugation processes of PAH derivatives. Study conducted on placental tissue samples showed that the activity of glutathione-S-transferase determined by glutathione redox status and the presence of genotoxic damage that may be used as a marker for the damaging effects of PAHs [38].

Polymorphisms in phase II metabolic enzymes genes are correlated with the amount of DNA damage and, therefore, with a risk of malignization. Thus, Binkova et al. [39] demonstrated an association between the polymorphic variants of a glutathione-S-transferase and the amount of DNA adducts. Individuals who have functionally inactivated glutathione transferase μ gene characterized by increased susceptibility to LC. It is not surprising that the most dangerous is combination of unfavorable genotypes of CYP 1A1 and GSTM1; individuals with such combination have 2-fold increased risk of LC development [40, 41].

The contribution of the genetic component in the formation of LC risk is not limited to only the genes responsible for the metabolic activation of tobacco smoke components. A significant role is also played by the individual characteristics of the DNA repair system. The most common mechanisms of cell repair include: nucleotide excision repair (NER), base excision repair (BER), non-homologous end joining (NHEJ), homologous recombination repair (HRR), transcription coupled repair (TCR). Several studies have shown that polymorphisms of certain genes of NER increase the efficiency of repair [42].

Four known polymorphism — 4G/A substitution in XPA (xeroderma pigmentosum, complementation group A) gene, C8092A in ERCC1 (excision repair cross-complementation group 1) gene, Lys751Gln in XPD (xeroderma pigmentosum group D, ERCC2) gene and Ser835Ser in XPF (xeroderma pigmentosum group F, ERCC4) gene are associated with reduced DNA repair capacity and increased incidence of tumors of various types [43].

Recent studies have shown that BER plays a special role in the repair of damage produced by the action of PAHs. It was found that the CHO (Chinese hamster ovary) cell line deficient in BER genes more sensitive to 11,12-dihydroxy-13,14-epoxy-11,12,13,14-tetrahydrodibenzo[a,l]pyrene (DBPDE), resulting in an increase in the frequency of chromosome aberrations [44]. Polymeric variants of BER genes are associated with an increased incidence of DNA damage. Thus, the product of the XRCC1 (X-ray repair cross-complementing protein 1) gene has 4 functional polymorphic variants — T77C, Arg194Trp, Arg280His and Arg399Gln [45], which are associated with altered ability to repair DNA damage caused by PAH action [46].

Polymorphisms of proteins involved in HRR, is probably able to protect the effects of PAHs. One of these proteins, XRCC3, is involved in homologous recombination repair of double strand breaks and removing of double bonds (cross-linking) between guanine bases belonging to different chains. According to Shen et al. (2003), polymorphism of XRCC3 codon 241 is protective for bladder cancer, especially for long-term smokers [47]. Considering that tobacco smoke contains a large number of PAH, this fact allows making sure that HRR involved in repair of DNA lesions induced by exposure to PAHs. Furthermore, it has been shown in vitro that CHO cell line deficient in HR genes are more susceptible to the adverse effects of PAHs, which also confirms the data of the important role of homologous recombination in DNA repair [44].

**DNA ADDUCTS AS MARKERS OF LUNG CANCER RISK**

**Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons.** Initially PAHs are absolutely inert substances and acquire their biological activity only after metabolic activation. Among PAHs, benzo[a]pyrene is the well-studied compound and its ability to induce lung tumors when administered topically and inhaled well described [48].

Benzo[a]pyrene forms at least nine different DNA adducts which have been characterized and isolated from body fluids (saliva, urine, blood), lung, liver and cells of human and animals. Different types of adducts were determined by mass spectrometry and were shown specific sites of DNA damage. There are three metabolic pathways of benzo[a]pyrene activation — formation of a radical cation, diol epoxide and orthoquinone [49]. The third pathway leads to the formation of reactive metabolite — benzo[a]pyrene-7,8-dione by oxidation of benzo[a]pyrene-7,8-trans-dihydrodiol catalyzed by aldo-keto reductases. Thus, it was shown that H358 cells produce large amount of benzopyrene-7,8-dione under exposure to benzo[a]pyrene. In subsequent experiments it was found that 7,8-benzopyrene-dione DNA-adducts detected in immortalized HBEC-KT cells and in human lung adenocarcinoma cells A549. Wherein A549 cells mainly produce adducts of 2'-deoxyguanosine (dGuo), while HBEC-KT cell lines — 2'-deoxyadenosine (dAde). Mass spectrometric study allowed to identify several stable benzo[a]pyrene-7,8-dione adducts: hydrated forms of benzo[a]pyrene-7,8-dione-N2-dGuo and benzo[a]pyrene-7,8-dione-N1-dGuo in A549 cells; hydrated and unhydrated forms of benzo[a]pyrene-7,8-dione-N1-dAde and benzo[a]pyrene-7,8-dione-N3-dAde in HBEC-KT cells.

Another product of benzo[a]pyrene metabolic activation is benzopyrene-7,8-diol-9,10-epoxide. All diol epoxides exist as diasteromers, i.e. stereoisomers that are not mirror images of each other, and referred to as anti-BPDE and syn-BPDE, each of which at one time may be represented by two (+/−) enantiomers. The experiment conducted by Buening et al. showed that isomer (+) — anti-BPDE has the greatest tumorigenicity in vivo [50]. It is known that indicated isomer interacts with N2-atom of deoxyguanosine forming (+)-trans, (+)-cis-BPDE-N2-dG, and (−)-trans and (−)-cis-BPDE-N2-dG adducts. Of these four adducts (+)-cis-BPDE-N2-dG is the most common and its presence is registered in 45% of smokers. Presence of BPDE-DNA adducts in human tissues has been reliably established [51] and shown to be the highest concentration in the bronchial epithelium, indicating a direct role in the initiation of LC [52].

**Aromatic amines.** Aromatic amines contained in the tobacco smoke can also be sources for DNA adduct formation. The most studied aromatic amine in tobacco smoke is a 4-aminobiphenyl (4-ABP) [53]. A recent study
authored by Lee et al. found that 4-ABP-adducts are formed mainly in the mutational hot spots of TP53 gene (codons 280 and 285) in a human bladder cancer cell line [53]. These results confirm pathogenic role of 4-ABP-adducts in the development of bladder cancer. Using of sensitive immunobiological and mass spectrometric methods allows detecting the presence of DNA and protein 4-ABP-adducts in various human tissues resulting from exposure to tobacco smoke.

It is interesting that in intact breast tissue adjacent to the tumor, level of 4-ABP-adducts correlated with smoking status. In biopsies obtained from patients with bladder cancer quantity of DNA adducts were also associated with smoking and the tumor size. However, the results obtained in studies of different groups of patients are rather contradictory. Thus, using of HPLC (high-performance liquid chromatography) method, followed by tandem mass spectrometry does not allowed the identification of 4-ABP-adducts in biopsies of 70 breast cancer patients. Perhaps this may be due to incorrect grouping of patients, as a systematic survey and detection of patient’s smoking status has not been established. The few studies of aromatic amines DNA adducts in the tissues of smokers and non-smokers LC patients are also characterized as a highly controversial [54].

**Aldehydes.** Acrolein (2-propanal) — highly reactive unsaturated aldehyde contained in thermally processed foods and in the environment, as the product of incomplete combustion of gasoline, plastic and wood. Tobacco smoke contains approximately 180 mg of acrolein per cigarette, which is 1000 times greater than the PAHs content. In this case, unlike PAHs, acrolein is initially active component capable of providing a damaging effect. Acrolein has a mutagenic effect toward bacterial and mammalian cells. Acrolein binds deoxyguanosine forming one of two stereoisomers, 1,N2-propanodeoxyguanosine (Acr-dGuo) — α-OH-Acr-dGuo and γ-OH-Acr-dGuo. Highest mutagenic effect has α-isomer which induce G>T substitutions in CpG-islands, mainly, in TP53 codons 249 and 273 [55].

One of the first studies authored by Nath et al. (1998) demonstrated a significant increase of Acr-dGuo adducts in epithelial cells of the oral mucosa in smokers [56]. Based on the similarity of the mechanisms of acrolein and PAHs action, many authors have suggested that indicated major component of tobacco smoke may be responsible for the TP53 gene mutations in lung cancer associated with smoking. To detect the presence of acrolein adducts in the lung tissue and to evaluate their contribution in the pathogenesis of LC, Zhang et al. developed a methodological approach based on liquid chromatography and tandem mass spectrometry to quantify Acr-dGuo in biological samples [57]. They analyzed 30 samples of normal lung tissue and detected the presence of both stereoisomers in all samples irrespective of smoking status. In the next study, the presence of Acr-dGuo adducts was evaluated in peripheral blood lymphocytes of 25 smokers and 25 non-smokers. Predominant presence γ-isomer was detected in all samples except three patients. However, significant differences between smokers and non-smokers were not found. Paradoxically, the average content of γ-OH-Acr-dGuo was statistically higher in non-smokers. Based on these results, the authors conclude that acrolein probably undergoes detoxification with a very high efficiency by conjugation with glutathione. Obviously, the most damaging effect of acrolein adducts, promoting malignant transformation of epithelial cells of the lung, is the inhibition of NER, which is responsible for the removal of DNA damage caused by the action of PAHs [55].

Acetaldehyde is the one of the most common carcinogens in tobacco smoke, may also be present in foods and in products of combustion. A certain amount of acetaldehyde is formed endogenously in threonine catabolism and metabolism of ethanol. Acetaldehyde binds DNA forming N2-ethylidene-dGuo. After enzymatic hydrolysis of DNA in the presence of NaBH3CN indicated metabolite forms N2-ethyl-dGuo, which can be detect by mass spectrometry [58]. Theoretically, N2-ethylidene-dGuo may undergo a subsequent transformation forming 1,N2-propanodeoxyguanosine (the same adduct formation occurs under the exposure of acrolein). The proof of this hypothesis serves an experiment in vivo conducted by Wang et al. (2006) [58]. 1,N2-propanodeoxyguanosine can be detected in the cells after exposure to micromolar concentrations of acetaldehyde. Probably acetaldehyde adducts play a role in the carcinogenic effect of tobacco smoke, but the contribution of this component to the overall genotoxicity should be clarified in further studies.

The evidence exists that, among other components there are some ethylating agents in a tobacco smoke whose structure has not yet been established [59]. When studying normal lung epithelium obtained from patients with LC, O4-ethylidimidine was detected in 10 of 13 smokers and only 3 out of 11 non-smoking subjects. Another study on a small group of patients demonstrated the presence of the O4-ethylidimidine in sputum from two of four smokers and was not detected in either of the samples obtained from non-smokers [60].

**Nitrosamines.** Significant contribution to the study of nitrosamines metabolism contained in tobacco smoke was made by Hecht and co-authors [61]. For metabolite identification the authors used the HPLC-electrospray ionization-MS-MS analysis in vitro and in vivo studies.

It was found that these chemicals act as bidentate (ligand that binds through two sites) carcinogens. Contained in cigarette smoke nitrosamine 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butane (NNK) undergoes metabolic activation, forming 4-(methylnitrosamino)-1-(3-pyridyl)-1-butanol (NNAL). The further reaction consists of conversion of intermediate metabolite to methanediazohydroxid, which in its turn interacts with DNA and forms O6-methyl-dGuo, 7-methyl-dGuo and O6-methyl-dThd. O6-methyl-dGuo has the highest mutagenic effect [62]. In addition to the well-studied pathway of activation, leading to the formation of a methylating agent, there is another mechanism which results in the formation of pyridloxobutylating agent. Another nitrosamine — N-nitrosornicotine (NNN) also produces two types of reactive agents. Both compounds have a pronounced carcinogenic effect in animal models, forming tumors with...
similar localization to smokers with LC [63]. Pathway which associated with pyridyloxobutylatation leads to the formation of a large number of adducts: 7-[4-(3-pyridyl)-4-oxobut-1-yl]-2′-deoxyguanosine (7-pobdT), O2-[4-(3-pyridyl)-4-oxobut-1-yl]-2′-deoxyctosinose (O2-pobdC), O2-[4-(3-pyridyl)-4-oxobut-1-yl]-2′-deoxothymidine (O2-pobdT), O6-[4-(3-Pyridyl)-4-Oxobut-1-yl]-2′-Deoxyguanosine (O6-pobdG). Two of these adducts — 7-pobdT and O2-pobdC release appropriate nitrogenous base, forming 7-[4-(3-pyridyl)-4-oxobut-1-yl]-guanine (7-pobG) and O2-[4-(3-pyridyl)-4-oxobut-1-yl]-cytosine (O2-pobc). The presence of all these adducts was detected in the tissues of rodents after administration of NNN and NNK. Moreover, the maximum concentration was detected in lung, liver and then, in mucosa of the nasal cavity and pancreas. If one considers the ratio of different adducts their distribution in the lung tissue, was as follows: O2-pobdT > 7-pobG > O2-pobc >> O6-pobdG.

Interestingly, the study of the content of these adducts in tissues of patients with LC have not been conducted. These data could clarify the role of nitrosamines in the pathogenesis of LC associated with smoking. Study of Bessete et al. [64] demonstrated that N-(deoxyguanosine-8-yl)-2-amino-1-methyl-6-phenylimidazo[4,5-b]pyridine was detected in saliva samples of 13 out of 29 smokers and only in 2 out of 8 samples of non-smokers, while a N-(deoxyguanosin-8-yl)-2-amino-9H-pyrido[2,3-b]indole and N-(deoxyguanosin-8-yl)-2-amino-3,8-dimethylimidazo[4,5-f]quinoline were detected in saliva samples only in three smokers and N-(deoxyguanosin-8-yl)-4-aminobiphenyl was detected in saliva of two smokers. The levels of these various adducts ranged from 1 to 9 adducts per 108 base pairs.

**Formaldehyde.** Recent mass-spectrometric studies comparing the level of formaldehyde DNA adduct in smokers and non-smokers showed that the level of N6-hydroxymethyldeoxyadenosine (N6-HOMe-dAdo) in smokers was higher than in non-smokers [65], that may indicate a role of formaldehyde in the pathogenesis of LC induced by smoking.

Formaldehyde is also a component of tobacco smoke, which is classified as carcinogenic and genotoxic agent, forming DNA adducts. Meta-analysis conducted by US researchers of more than 25 thousand manufacturing workers who are exposed to formaldehyde, showed a significant increase in the risk of leukemia. In the study held by Wang et al. quantity of N6-HOME-dAdo was measured using mass spectrometry in leukocyte DNA from 32 smokers and 30 non-smokers [65]. The presence of this adduct was detected in 21 out of 29 smokers (179 ± 205 fmol/μmol dAdo) and only in 7 out of 30 non-smokers (15.5 ± 33.8 fmol/μmol dAdo). However, the study of Lu et al. [66] showed that formaldehyde metabolites form DNA adducts, preferably binding to deoxyguanosine and forms unstable N2-hydroxymethyl-dGuo, which is converted in N2-methyl-dGuo in the presence of sodium cyanoborohydride. At the same time, the presence of formaldehyde adducts detected mainly in the mucosa of the nasal cavity, lung tissue, but not in distant organs such as liver, spleen, bone marrow.

Estimation of the contribution of formaldehyde adducts inhaled with tobacco smoke, complicated by the fact that all cells of the organism contain endogenous formaldehyde and, accordingly, its adducts. Therefore, while studying the biological effects of exogenous formaldehyde it is necessary to carry out its radioactive labeling.

**CONCLUSION**

Analysis of recent data has demonstrated that DNA-adducts may be potential markers of LC risk. The appearance of DNA adducts in blood or tissues is the result of the interaction of carcinogenic factors, such as tobacco constituents, and the body reaction which is determined by individual characteristics of metabolic and repair systems. An important fact is that initially most carcinogens of tobacco smoke are inert substances and acquire their genotoxic activity only after metabolic activation. Thus, the ultimate carcinogenic effect of tobacco constituents (initiation of malignancy) manifests as a result of their genotoxic action which can be considerably modified by the functioning of the repair systems. So, DNA adducts may be considered as a cumulative mirror of heterogeneous response of different individuals to smoking carcinogens, which finally could provide the risk for LC.

At the current moment, there are some difficulties impeding the widespread use of DNA adducts as cancer biomarkers. Firstly, there are no analytical standards of substance for quantitative determination of DNA adducts, except for the most common 8-oxo-dG. For the rest of the substances it is necessary to develop commercially available standards, including deuterated forms. Third, in order to detect the increased level of DNA-adducts, it is required to establish background values, because some adducts may be formed endogenous. The level of background DNA damage formed as a result of some endogenous processes may reach 1 to 105 according to some reports [67]. Furthermore, the same DNA-adducts can be formed by several pathways, so 8-oxo-dG, may be produced by exposure to benzo[a]pyrene, as well as to carbon tetrachloride [68, 69]. In our opinion, further studies are needed to establish the laws of the content of individual adducts in tissues and biological fluids of patients, as well as their concentration.

Using of common research method of mass spectrometry as a routine method in clinical laboratory is economically unjustified. Therefore, for the realization of the early detection of LC it is necessary to develop an appropriate method. It is known that all DNA adducts are immunogenic, their accumulation to some threshold concentration leads to the appearance of long-living autoantibodies [70, 71]. Over the past year, increasing attention has focused on the possibility to detect autoantibodies against DNA adducts, in particular adducts of benzo[a]pyrene [72–74]. Thus, identification of autoantibodies to DNA adducts may be a promising approach for early diagnosis of LC in high-risk groups (smokers, manufacturing workers, urban dwellers). The use of high effective multiplex ELISA immunossay will allow the numerous autoantibodies against different DNA adducts to be detected in order to increase the method sensitivity.
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